

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,534	06/07/2000	Ronnie Dale Johnson	BS99-090	9205
28970	7590 06/09/2003			
SHAW PITTMAN IP GROUP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			GORT, ELAINE L	
SUITE 1300 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X			
· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/588,534	JOHNSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elaine Gort	3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of tho will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 April 2003	·			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)□						
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
· ·	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	<u> </u>					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/588,534 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 21-34 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 4. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected because they lack patentable utility. Claims 1-20 only claim the manipulation of data but perform no concrete, useful or tangible result. An example of how this rejection may be overcome is by including the limitation of the results being printed out or a report being generated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golden et al. (US Patent 5,774,872).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,534

Art Unit: 3627

Golden et al. discloses a method of verifying charges on a customer hold bill (such as bill for professional services provided created on a PC) including: downloading to a local server billing data associated with a customer account, wherein the billing data is associated with a hold bill scheduled to be sent to a customer which includes calculated tax charges; populating a database (for example database 31) with a portion of the billing data (such as the date of transaction, tax charged, etc...); performing pre-calculation functions on another portion of the billing data and populating the database with results of the pre-calculation functions (such as sorting by types of sales, daily totals, etc...); displaying predetermined categories of information stored in the database (categorized for example by 28A-28D, e.g. categorized by merchant); calculating taxes for each of several charges in the billing data by applying tax rate information to sales/account charges and storing the results (central computer analyzes the item prices and tax charged to make sure it is in compliance, creates reports); and comparing the tax results with the tax information on the customer bill (such as: a report generated can be used to allow users to check against the merchants own records and additionally, if the analyzed amount is not the same as the tax collected, which is the same as the amount on the customer hold bill, then there is non-compliance and a noncompliance report is created).

Golden et al. discloses the claimed method but is silent regarding the display of the predeterminded categories of information. It is old and well known in the art of computer database use to display databases via a monitor to provide a user the capability to view the database contents. The method of Golden et al. either inherently

Application/Control Number: 09/588,534 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

displays the categories, or in the alternate, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Golden et al. with the display of the categories, in order to allow a user to view the categories and the data within the database.

Regarding the summing of toll telephone charged, it would have been obvious that if the service or item sold was a toll call that the toll charges would have to be precalculated.

All other claimed limitations are either disclosed or inherent.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See details above regarding the new rejection.

Examiner believes that potential areas of clarification leading to an allowance may exist and Examiner invites Applicant to an in-person interview to further discuss these areas.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,534

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

June 4, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**